

# **EXHIBIT C**

H8OHBSGC

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
2 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----x

3 BSG RESOURCES (GUINEA)  
4 LIMITED, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

5 v.

17 Civ. 2726 (JFK) (AJP)

6  
7 GEORGE SOROS, et al.,

Conference

8 Defendants.

9 -----x

10 New York, N.Y.  
11 August 24, 2017  
9:35 a.m.

12 Before:

13 HON. ANDREW J. PECK,

14 Magistrate Judge

15 APPEARANCES

16 GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

17 BY: LOUIS M. SOLOMON  
18 NANCY L. SAVITT  
MICHAEL LAZAROFF

19 WILLKIE FARR & GALLAGHER LLP  
Attorneys for Defendants

20 BY: ELIZABETH J. BOWER  
21 BENJAMIN P. McCALLEN  
JIM FITZMAURICE

H8OHBSGC

(Case called)

THE COURT: All right. I have just entered your proposed 502(d) order and your ESI stip protocol. You will, obviously, see those via ECF when you get back to your offices. With respect to conflicting proposed confidentiality orders, my inclination, subject to hearing from all of you, is to go with plaintiffs' version, meaning, the usual court procedures are that unless something is deserving of confidentiality protection, parties are free to use it however they wish.

Any discussion on that from the defense?

MS. BOWER: Yes, your Honor. Respectfully, we think that this case is one in which we should have broader protection generally on discovery materials. Plaintiffs have indicated a preference for possibility of using documents from this case in other proceedings to which defendants are not a party and will have no control over the use of that material.

THE COURT: If it's not confidential, what issue is there?

MS. BOWER: Well, it's the defendants' private information there would otherwise be no purpose in the plaintiffs' possessing. So --

THE COURT: If it's not relevant to the litigation, you're not going to have to produce it. If it's relevant and really affects serious privacy interest, Mr. Soros' tax returns, or something like that, then I don't see why it

H8OHBSGC

1 wouldn't be designated confidential. But if it is something  
2 that is produced that is, you know, mildly private but not  
3 sufficient to be designated confidential under Rule 26(c) of  
4 the case law, it is what it is.

5 MS. BOWER: We appreciate that, your Honor. As I  
6 think has been indicated in this case in the past, we do feel  
7 strongly that this is not -- there is no merit to these claims.  
8 At the same time, we appreciate we have to proceed --

9 THE COURT: What a shock that a defendant finds the  
10 claims not to have merit. I've never heard that argument from  
11 a defendant before.

12 MS. BOWER: I like to be unique, your Honor.

13 At the same time, we obviously appreciate that we need  
14 to move forward with discovery as ordered by the Court, but we  
15 do believe that this should not open the door to Mr. Soros' or  
16 OSF entities' private commercial personal information. It is  
17 also interesting to note, your Honor, we received last evening  
18 plaintiffs' responses and objections to the defendants' RFPs in  
19 which they include an objection to producing to us material  
20 from other cases on the basis that those cases involve other  
21 parties, other claims, and other issues. So while they intend  
22 to seemingly use material from this case in those proceedings,  
23 they're intending to block our access to those documents.

24 THE COURT: We'll get to that if the material is  
25 relevant. And it's their information, not someone else's,

H8OHBSGC

1 you're entitled to it. If it's somebody else's information  
2 subject to a confidentiality order from some other litigation,  
3 then I'll have to see whether I can obviate that protective  
4 order or whether one or the other side has to go back to the  
5 Court that issued the order.

6 All right. Anything further?

7 MS. BOWER: No, your Honor.

8 THE COURT: Then I'm going to enter, what is it, D?  
9 Is the one that is -- is it C or D that is a clean version of  
10 plaintiffs' --

11 MR. SOLOMON: It's D, your Honor.

12 THE COURT: All right. Then that will be issued.

13 Now we go to discovery disputes. I have no papers on  
14 that, so why don't you begin.

15 MR. SOLOMON: Thank you, your Honor. Louis Solomon.  
16 With apologies in general, I don't think it's been our finest  
17 moment in sending letters to the Court. We will all do better  
18 going forward. There is an issue that we raised with the Court  
19 the last time we were here, and that relates to OSF, and we  
20 have --

21 THE COURT: That's the entity that defendants say  
22 doesn't exist?

23 MR. SOLOMON: Correct, Judge, even though it seems to  
24 copyright books and websites, including the philanthropy of  
25 George Soros, it has a copyright on it; even though it issues